Solution Conformation and Pseudorotational Barriers of 1,3,7,9-Tetraselenacyclododecane and its 5,5,11,11-Tetramethyl Derivative

B. Mario Pinto,* Blair D. Johnston, Raymond J. Batchelor, and Jian-Hua Gu

Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., Canada V5A 1S6

1,3,7,9-Tetraselenacyclododecane and its 5,5,11,11-tetramethyl analogue are shown by dynamic n.m.r. studies to have a [3333] quadrangular conformation in which the selenium atoms occupy only side positions, and to possess pseudorotational barriers of 6.0 and 7.6 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

We have recently reported¹ that 1,3,7,9-tetraselenacyclododecane (1) exists, in the solid state, in two distinct quadrangular conformations in both of which selenium atoms occupy alternate corner and side positions. In contrast, we show herein that the preferred conformation in solution of (1) and its 5,5,11,11-tetramethyl analogue (2) is a [3333] quadrangle with the selenium atoms occupying only side positions. This work is the first recorded dynamic n.m.r. study of a selenium coronand.

Cyclododecane as well as its polyoxa, polythia, and polyselena derivatives exist in the solid state in quadrangular conformations.¹⁻⁴ The corners of these quadrangles are formed by two consecutive *gauche* torsion angles which may be either of the same sign (genuine corner)⁵ or of opposite sign (pseudo corner).⁵ Cyclododecane² and 1,4,7,10-tetrathia-cyclododecane³ both exist in a conformation having four genuine corners (type I torsional sequence or quadrangular [3333]),⁵ the latter having the S atoms in the corner positions. In contrast, in the molecular conformation of 1,4,7,10-tetratoxacyclododecane⁴ there are alternating genuine and pseudo corners (type II torsional sequence or biangular [66]),⁵ with the oxygen atoms occupying side positions. The structure





of $(1)^1$ contains both type I and type II conformers in which, however, the selenium atoms occur in alternate corner and side positions; in the type II conformer the corner selenium atoms occupy the pseudo corners.

In contrast to the conformational preference of (1) in the solid state,¹ the oxygen congener of (1), namely 1,3,7,9-tetraoxacyclododecane, has been reported⁶ to exist in solution in a type I conformation with the oxygen atoms occupying side positions. It was of interest, therefore, to probe the solution conformational behaviour of (1).

The ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of (1) in CFCl₃/CD₂Cl₂ (85:15) at 400 MHz shows temperature-dependent behaviour for only the Se-CH₂-C resonance. This signal (δ 2.82) broadens at about 165 K and reaches the coalescence point at 133 K, the practical low-temperature limit of our instrument. The Se-CH₂-Se (δ 3.56) and the C-CH₂-C (δ 1.98) resonances remain unaffected. In addition, the 77 Se (δ 198) and 13 C n.m.r. spectra (down to 154 K) do not show any changes with temperature due to chemical exchange. The ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of the tetramethyl derivative (2) exhibits similar behaviour to that of (1), the Se-CH₂-C signal (δ 3.01) broadening at about 185 K and splitting into two signals (δ 2.72 and 3.10) below 165 K, while the Se–CH₂–Se (δ 3.64) and methyl (δ 1.19) signals remain unchanged (Figure 1). The splitting of the Se-CH₂-C signal to give an AB system (${}^{1}J_{AB}$ 9.5 Hz) at 141 K shows that these geminal hydrogen atoms are diastereotopic. As is the case with (1), the ⁷⁷Se (δ 148) and ¹³C n.m.r. spectra (down to 154 K) do not show any changes with temperature attributable to chemical exchange. The ¹H n.m.r. spectrum at 141 K is consistent only with a preferred conformation displaying three mutually perpendicular twofold axes. The assignment presumes that the Se-CH₂-Se and Me₂C signals remain unsplit because the groups are stereochemically equivalent and not because the signals are accidentally isochronous.[†] We propose that (1) and (2) undergo site exchange as shown in Figure 2.6 Similar conformational behaviour has been observed⁷ for the oxygen congener, 1,3,7,9-tetraoxacyclododecane, and its 2,2,8,8-tetramethyl derivative.

The placement of the oxygen atoms across corners in oxygen coronands containing the 1,3-dioxa fragment⁸ has been rationalized in terms of (i) minimization of 1,4 CH \cdots HC contacts, and (ii) maximization of 1,4 CH \cdots O attractive interactions.^{5,9} The conformational preference in (1) and (2) can be similarly rationalized, although 1,4 CH \cdots Se attractive interactions should be much less important. We note also that the preferred placement of the heteroatoms (X = O or Se) dictates *gauche, gauche* arrangements about X–C–X moieties and is consistent with expectations based on the anomeric effect.^{10,11}

The barrier for conformational interconversion, ΔG^{\ddagger} , in (2) is ca. 7.6 kcal mol⁻¹ (T_c 167 K)[‡] and that in (1) is estimated to

 $\ddagger k_{\text{rotn.}} = 2.221 [(\Delta v)^2 + 6J_{AB}^2]^{1/2}$ at the coalescence temperature.

[†] Similar behaviour is observed in CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 (85:15).



Figure 1. Variable temperature ¹H n.m.r. (400 MHz) spectra of 5,5,11,11-tetramethyl-1,3,7,9-tetraselenacyclododecane (2) in CFCl₃/CD₂Cl₂ (85:15); an asterisk indicates the residual solvent peak.



Figure 2. Conformational interconversion pathway for (1) and (2); (1) a = b = c = d = e = f = H; (2) a = b = e = f = H, c = d = Me.

be ca. 6.0 kcal mol⁻¹ (T_c 133 K), if we assume that Δv and ${}^{1}J_{AB}$ for the exchanging protons are the same in (1) and (2). The conformational barriers for (1) and (2) are of similar magnitude to those in cyclododecane (7.3 kcal mol⁻¹)¹² and

cyclododecanone (7.3 kcal mol⁻¹)¹³ and those for the two processes in 1,4,7,10-tetraoxacyclododecane (5.5 and 6.8 kcal mol⁻¹),¹⁴ but are much lower than that in the oxygen congener (11 kcal mol⁻¹).⁷ The latter difference is attributable

to the relative ground-state stabilization of the oxygen congener, although some contribution from the alleviation of syn-eclipsing interactions in the transition state for pseudo-rotation in (1) and (2), due to the longer C-Se bonds, cannot be ruled out.

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.

Received, 22nd March 1988; Com. 8/011551

References

- 1 B. M. Pinto, B. D. Johnston, R. J. Batchelor, F. W. B. Einstein, and I. D. Gay, *Can. J. Chem.*, in the press.
- 2 J. D. Dunitz and H. M. M. Shearer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1960, **43**, 18.
- 3 R. E. Wolf, Jr., J. R. Hartman, J. M. E. Storey, B. M. Foxman, and S. R. Cooper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 4328.
- 4 P. Groth, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A., 1978, 32, 279.
- 5 G. Borgen, J. Dale, and G. Teien, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 1979, 33, 15; J. Dale, Isr. J. Chem., 1980, 20, 3.

- 6 J. Dale, Top. Stereochem., 1976, 9, 199.
- 7 G. Borgen and J. Dale, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1974, 484.
- 8 I. W. Bassi, R. Scordamaglia, and L. Fiore, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1972, 1726; J. Dale and T. Ekeland, Acta Chem. Scand., 1973, 27, 1519; P. Groth, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A, 1975, 29, 643.
- 9 J. Dale, Tetrahedron, 1974, 30, 1683.
- 10 J. T. Edward, *Chem. Ind.* (*London*), 1955, 1102; R. U. Lemieux and N. J. Chü, Abstracts of Papers of ACS Meeting, 1958, vol. 133, p. 31N.
- 11 'The Anomeric Effect: Origin and Consequences,' eds. W. A. Szarek and D. Horton, ACS Symposium Series No. 37, Washington, D.C. 1979; P. Deslongchamps, 'Stereoelectronic Effects in Organic Chemistry,' Pergamon, Oxford, 1983; A. J. Kirby, 'The Anomeric Effect and Related Stereoelectronic Effects at Oxygen,' Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- 12 F. A. L. Anet, A. K. Cheng, and J. J. Wagner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 9250.
- 13 F. A. L. Anet, A. K. Cheng, and J. Krane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7877.
- 14 F. A. L. Anet, J. Krane, J. Dale, K. Daasvatn, and P. O. Kristiansen, Acta Chem. Scand., 1973, 27, 3395.